



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/903,315	07/10/2001	Han-Sen Lee	LEE-8	7401
7	7590 06/26/2002			
Curtis L. Harrington Suite 250 6300 State University Drive			EXAMINER	
			LEV, BRUCE ALLEN	
Long Beach, CA 90815			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 06/26/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

S

Application No.

Applicant(s)

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 10, 2001 2b) \ This action is non-final. 2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** is/are pending in the application. 4) X Claim(s) 1-8 4a) Of the above, claim(s) ______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) X Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on Jul 10, 2001 is/are a) \square accepted or b) very objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. BRUCE A. LEV Attachment(s) PRIMARY EXAMINE 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 6) Other: 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Application/Control Number: 09/903,315 Page 2

Art Unit: 3634

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: (11). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "23" has been used to designate both the "plug" and the "horizontal cord". A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "39" has been used to designate both the "aperture" and the "knots". A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 4. Applicant is required to submit a proposed drawing correction in reply to this Office action. However, formal correction of the noted defect may be deferred until after the examiner has considered the proposed drawing correction. Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing correction will result in the abandonment of the application.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,315

Art Unit: 3634

Specification

Page 3

5. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns,"

"The disclosure defined by this invention," "the invention *provides*", as in line 1, etc.

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On page 10, line 13, (39) is described as a "small aperture", however, on line 16, (39) is described as a "knot".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/903,315 Page 4

Art Unit: 3634

As concerns claims 1 and 5, the phrase "an aperture for fitting within said bore" is vague and indefinite since it is not understood how an "aperture", which is a hole, can conceivably be able to fit within a "bore"...a hole within a hole? Furthermore, the limitation "a first vertical elevation cord...affixed adjacent said first end of said first vertical elevation cord" is vague and indefinite since it is not understood how an element can be fixed adjacent itself.

As concerns claims 7 and 8, the phrase "dissemble" should read --disassemble--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 09/903,315

Art Unit: 3634

9. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Judkins et al

6,119,757.

As concerns claims 1-4, Judkins et al set forth a cord and louver assembly (best illustrated

in Figures 1, 3, 12, and 15) comprising a pair of ladder cords having vertical cord portions and a

plurality of horizontal cord portions forming ladder openings; a plurality of louvers each having

cord openings; a base louver having bores; end plugs fitting within the bores of the base louver;

vertical elevation cords extending through the cord openings, the apertures of the plugs and

affixed thereto; and a channel assembly.

As concerns claims 5-8, Judkins et al set forth the apparatus, as advanced above, and

therefore the process of forming of forming the blind set.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Bruce A. Lev whose telephone number is (703) 308-7470.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be

directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

June 20, 2002

Bruce A. Lev

Primary Examiner

Group 3600

Page 5